

Neural Correlates of Theory of Mind Development in Young Children

Mini Review

Volume 4 Issue 1- 2023

Author Details

*Shuting Li, Jörg Meinhardt, Beate Sodian**Department of Psychology, Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich, Germany*

*Corresponding author

Shuting Li. Department of Psychology, Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich, Leopoldstraße 13, 80802 Munich, Germany

Article History

Received: February 15, 2023 Accepted: February 20, 2023 Published: February 20, 2023

Abstract

The development of the ability to attribute mental states to oneself and others (Theory of Mind, ToM) in young children is a major research focus in Cognitive Science as well as in Neuroscience. There is evidence from a limited number of studies for similarities of adults and ToM-competent children with respect to the activation of the “mentalizing” network in the brain, but very little research has addressed the processes underlying ToM development before the age of 5 years on the neural level. This review aims to synthesize the currently available neurocognitive evidence on ToM development in young children.

Abbreviations: ToM: Theory of Mind; MPFC: Medial Prefrontal Cortex; TPJ: Temporoparietal Junction; PC: Precuneus; ERPs: Event-Related Potentials; LSW: Late Slow Wave; LPC: Late Positive Complex; FNIRs : Functional Near-Infrared Spectroscopy; SMG: Supramarginal Gyrus

Mini Review

Theory of Mind (ToM) refers to the fundamental human ability to understand that the behavior of others is driven by internal mental states, such as beliefs and desires. Understanding that beliefs may differ from reality and that agents act according to their beliefs, even when these are false, is at the core of ToM and develops around the age of 4 to 5 years. Recent behavioral research has found evidence for implicit false belief understanding in infancy, and explicit false belief competence in toddlers under reduced processing demands [1].

To date, relatively insufficient neuroimaging studies have been conducted on the development of ToM in children, and most of these studies have focused on older children aged 6–12 years, when ToM ability has already become well-established. The maturation of several brain regions, including the medial prefrontal cortex (MPFC), tem-

poroparietal junction (TPJ), and precuneus (PC) has been associated with the emergence of mental state representations [2-8]. In addition to brain region activation studies, the use of event-related potentials (ERPs) in children's ToM research provides insights into the temporal neural mechanisms involved in ToM reasoning, which confirms the importance of the late slow wave (LSW) and late positive complex (LPC) in ToM development [9-12].

A seminal ERP-study by Liu, et al. [13] found that the emergence of neural activity was associated with behavioral competence in false belief reasoning in 4- to 6-year-old children. A frontal LSW characterized adults and children who understood false belief, but not children who failed a false belief task. This points to the activity of the prefrontal cortex (see also [14]). Further, Sabbagh, et al. [15] used EEG source analysis to find individual differences in resting-state alpha oscillation related to ToM performance in the right TPJ and the dorsal MPFC in 4-year-olds, indicating that the maturation of these regions is involved in the emergence of ToM. In an innovative MRI study, Grosse Wiesmann, et al. [16] related the behavioral development of false belief understanding to the maturation of white matter structure in 3- to 4-year-old children. Consistent with the neural ToM network in adults and older children, false belief understanding was associated with



age-related changes in local white matter structure in the TPJ, PC and MPFC, and with increased dorsal white matter connectivity between temporoparietal and inferior frontal regions. Further, Grosse Wiesmann, et al. [17] showed that verbal ToM reasoning in 3- to 4-year-old children was supported by cortical surface area and thickness of the PC and TPJ, areas classically involved in ToM in adults. Moreover, a longitudinal study found that source-localized resting EEG in preschoolers at the age of 4 years, which is attributed to the dorsal MPFC, predicted ToM-specific fMRI responses in the dorsal MPFC at 7-8 years [18]. This suggests individual stability of early maturation processes of the dorsal MPFC which are linked to later ToM reasoning.

Despite a burgeoning behavioral literature on ToM in infancy and toddlerhood and its developmental relation to later verbal ToM [1,19], very little research has been conducted on the emergence of a specialized brain organization for ToM in infants below the age of 3 years. A study by Hyde, et al. [20] used functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) in 7-month-old infants watching video scenes showing a person searching for a hidden object, when this person had a true belief or a false belief about the location of this object. Findings showed higher activity in the TPJ in false belief than in true belief scenarios suggesting some functional organization for higher level social cognition in infants' brains. Findings by Grosse Wiesmann, et al. [17] suggest, however, that younger children's success on implicit ToM tasks may be supported by an earlier developing, independent neural network including the supramarginal gyrus (SMG) and PC regions. This neural dissociation supports the idea that there may be two separate systems supporting implicit and explicit ToM.

In sum, the research on the development of theory of mind (ToM) in young children in the field of cognitive neuroscience is still quite limited. Nonetheless, we can have a preliminary understanding of the neural characteristics of ToM development in young children. It is important to extend this research to infancy and toddlerhood in order to trace the early emergence of a mindreading system. There is some evidence for continuity in behavioral false belief competence from toddlerhood under reduced processing demands [21], but no such evidence has been obtained on the neural level yet. Second, the relationship between implicit and explicit mindreading systems is hotly debated on the behavioral level, but to date, only one study [17] has addressed the issue of distinct neural bases of explicit and implicit ToM. Neurocognitive research with young children poses great methodological challenges. The studies reviewed above have contributed in major ways to providing ingenious new methodological approaches to the field.

References

1. Scott RM, Baillargeon R (2017) Early False-Belief Understanding. *Trends Cogn Sci*21(4): 237-249.
2. Alkire D, Levitas D, Warnell KR, Redcay E (2018) Social interaction recruits mentalizing and reward systems in middle childhood. *Hum Brain Mapp* 39(10): 3928-3942.
3. Gweon H, Dodell-Feder D, Bedny M, Saxe R (2012) Theory of Mind Performance in Children Correlates with Functional Specialization of a Brain Region for Thinking About Thoughts. *Child Dev* 83(6): 1853-1868.
4. Kobayashi C, Glover GH, Temple E (2007) Children's and adults' neural bases of verbal and nonverbal 'theory of mind.' *Neuropsychologia* 45(7): 1522-1532.
5. Kobayashi C, Glover GH, Temple E (2007) Cultural and linguistic effects on neural bases of 'Theory of Mind' in American and Japanese children. *Brain Res* 1164: 95-107.
6. Mukerji CE, Lincoln SH, Dodell-Feder D, Nelson CA, Hooker CI (2019) Neural correlates of theory-of-mind are associated with variation in children's everyday social cognition. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci* 14(6): 579-589.
7. Saxe RR, Whitfield-Gabrieli S, Scholz J, Pelphrey KA (2009) Brain Regions for Perceiving and Reasoning About Other People in School-Aged Children. *Child Dev* 80(4): 1197-1209.
8. Sommer M, Meinhardt J, Eichenmüller K, Sodian B, Döhnell K, Hajak G (2010) Modulation of the cortical false belief network during development. *Brain Res* 1354: 123-131.
9. Bowman LC, Liu D, Meltzoff AN, Wellman HM (2012) Neural correlates of belief- and desire-reasoning in 7- and 8-year-old children: An event-related potential study. *Dev Sci* 15(5): 618-632.
10. Guan Y, Keil A, Farrar MJ (2020) Electrophysiological dynamics of false belief understanding and complementation syntax in school-aged children: Oscillatory brain activity and event-related potentials. *J Exp Child Psychol* 198: 104905.
11. Kühn-Popp N, Sodian B, Sommer M, Döhnell K, Meinhardt J (2013) Same or different? ERP correlates of pretense and false belief reasoning in children. *Neuroscience* 248: 488-498.
12. Meinhardt J, Sodian B, Thoermer C, Döhnell K, Sommer M (2011) True- and false-belief reasoning in children and adults: An event-related potential study of theory of mind. *Dev Cogn Neurosci* 1(1): 67-76.
13. Liu D, Sabbagh MA, Gehring WJ, Wellman HM (2009) Neural Correlates of Children's Theory of Mind Development. *Child Dev* 80(2): 318-326.
14. Liu D, Sabbagh MA, Gehring WJ, Wellman HM (2004) Decoupling beliefs from reality in the brain: An ERP study of theory of mind. *Neuroreport* 15(6): 991-995.
15. Sabbagh MA, Bowman LC, Evraire LE, Ito JMB (2009) Neurodevelopmental Correlates of Theory of Mind in Preschool Children. *Child Dev*80(4): 1147-1162.
16. Grosse Wiesmann C, Schreiber J, Singer T, Steinbeis N, Friederici AD (2017) White matter maturation is associated with the emergence of Theory of Mind in early childhood. *Nat Commun* 8(1): 14692.
17. Grosse Wiesmann C, Friederici AD, Singer T, Steinbeis N (2020) Two systems for thinking about others' thoughts in the developing brain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 117(12): 6928-6935.
18. Bowman LC, Dodell-Feder D, Saxe R, Sabbagh MA (2019) Continuity in the neural system supporting children's theory of mind development: Longitudinal links between task-independent EEG and task-dependent fMRI. *Developmental cognitive neuroscience* 40: 100705.
19. Sodian B, Kristen-Antonow S, Kloo D (2020) How Does Children's Theory of Mind Become Explicit? A Review of Longitudinal Findings. *Child Development Perspectives* 14(3): 171-177.
20. Hyde DC, Simon CE, Ting F, Nikolaeva JI (2018) Functional Organization of the Temporal-Parietal Junction for Theory of Mind in Preverbal Infants: A Near-Infrared Spectroscopy Study. *J Neurosci* 38(18): 4264-4274.
21. Setoh P, Scott RM, Baillargeon R (2016) Two-and-a-half-year-olds succeed at a traditional false-belief task with reduced processing demands. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 113(47): 13360-13365.

