International Journal on Dermatology and Cosmetics

The Effect of Cosmetic Dermatologic Procedures (BOTOX and FILLERS) on Adjacent Anatomical Structures: A CT and MRI Study

Mini Review

Volume 1 Issue 1- 2024

Author Details

Nayab Mustansar[1], Ashfa Ameer Khan[2], Maimoona Afsar[2], Rabiqa Bilal Ahmed[3], Col Yasser Khan[2] and Maj Rizwan Rafi[4]*

[1]*Resident Radiology, FCTH PESHAWAR, Pakistan*
[2]*Consultant Radiologist, FCTH PESHAWAR, Pakistan*
[3]*Resident Dermatology, CMH Jhelum, Pakistan*
[4]*DADMS FC NORTH KPK, Fort Balahisar, Pakistan*

*Corresponding author

Nayab Mustansar, Resident Radiology, FCTH PESHAWAR, Pakistan

Article History

Received: October 06, 2024 Accepted: October 10, 2024 Published: October 10, 2024

Abstract

Cosmetic dermatologic procedures such as dermal fillers and botulinum toxin (Botox) injections have become prevalent for aesthetic enhancement. While these interventions are generally considered safe, understanding their impact on adjacent anatomical structures is crucial for optimizing outcomes and minimizing risks. This study utilizes computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to investigate the effects of these common procedures on surrounding anatomical structures. Our findings provide detailed insights into the alterations induced by these treatments, contributing to safer and more effective cosmetic dermatology practices.

Introduction

The rise in popularity of cosmetic dermatologic procedures, including dermal fillers and botulinum toxin injections, has revolutionized non-surgical aesthetic enhancements. Despite their widespread use, there is limited research on how these treatments influence adjacent anatomical structures. This study aims to elucidate the impact of these procedures using advanced imaging techniques—CT and MRI—to provide a comprehensive analysis of the associated changes.

Methods

Study Design

We conducted a cross-sectional study involving 30 participants who underwent cosmetic procedures, including dermal fillers (hyaluronic acid, calcium hydroxyapatite) and botulinum toxin injections. Imaging was performed pre-procedure and post-procedure at intervals of 1 month, 6 months, and 12 months.

Imaging Techniques

a. Computed Tomography (CT): High-resolution CT scans were used to assess structural changes, including displacement of adjacent tissues and potential complications such as tissue necrosis or calcification.

b. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI): MRI provided detailed views of soft tissue changes, allowing for evaluation of the distribution of fillers and their interaction with nearbystructures.

Procedure Details

1) Dermal Fillers: Injections were administered into facial areas including the nasolabial folds, lips, and under-eye region.

2) Botulinum Toxin: Injections were applied to areas such as the forehead, crow's feet, and glabellar lines.

Results

Dermal Fillers

A. CT Findings: Post-procedure CT scans revealed mild displacement of adjacent soft tissues. There was a notable increase in localized density at the injection sites, consistent with the filler material. No significant displacement of underlying muscles or bone structures was observed.

B. MRI Findings: MRI showed uniform distribution of the filler material within the dermal and subcutaneous layers. There was no evidence of significant compression or distortion of deeperanatomical structures. Some participants exhibited minor oedema, which resolved over time.

Botulinum Toxin

©2024 Mustansar. This work is published and licensed by Example Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://skeenapublishers.com/terms-conditions and incorporate the Creative Commons Attribution – Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Emample Press, provided the work is properly attributed.

i. CT Findings: CT scans indicated no major changes in the underlying anatomical structures. Minor swelling in the localized area was observed, typically resolving within a few weeks.

ii. MRI Findings: MRI demonstrated transient changes in muscle activity, including temporary reduction in muscle volume due to toxin effects. No long-term atrophy or damage to surrounding tissues was detected.

Discussion

This study highlights that while dermal fillers and botulinum toxin injections can cause temporary changes in adjacent anatomical structures, the effects are generally mild and reversible. Dermal fillers may cause localized density changes and minor displacement of adjacent tissues, but these do not significantly affect deeper structures[1]. Botox primarily affects muscle volume and activity but does not result in long-term alterations in surrounding tissues[2].

Cosmetic dermatologic procedures, particularly the use of dermal fillers and botulinum toxin, have become integral in aesthetic medicine[3]. Despite their popularity and general safety, understanding their effects on adjacent anatomical structures is crucial to optimize outcomes and minimize potential risks[4].

Impact of Dermal Fillers

Dermal fillers, such as hyaluronic acid and calcium hydroxyapatite, are commonly used to restore volume and smooth wrinkles. CT and MRI imaging provide valuable insights into how these materials interact with surrounding tissues.

CT Imaging Findings

CT scans reveal that dermal fillers increase the local density at the injection sites. This is consistent with the known physical properties of these materials, which are designed to provide structural support to the tissues [1]. Minor displacement of adjacent soft tissues was observed, but these changes did not appear to affect deeper structures such asmuscles or bones. This finding aligns with previous studies, which suggest that fillers remain localized and primarily impact the immediate area of injection [2].

MRI Findings

MRI scans demonstrated that dermal fillers distribute evenly within the dermal and subcutaneous layers. This is crucial as uneven distribution could lead to aesthetic issues or complications. Additionally, MRI was sensitive to minor oedema that occurred post-procedure, but this typically resolved within a few weeks. The absence of significant compression or distortion of deeper anatomical structures, such as facial muscles or nerves, suggests that fillers, when used correctly, have a localized effect and are unlikely to causelong-term structural changes [3].

Impact of Botulinum Toxin

Botulinum toxin (Botox) is widely used for its muscle-relaxing properties to reduce wrinkles. The effects of Botox on surrounding structures are also well-documented through imaging techniques.

I. CT Imaging Findings: CT scans indicated minimal long-term changes in the underlying anatomical structures following Botox injections. Temporary swelling was observed in the treated areas, whichtypically resolved within a few weeks. These findings are consistent with the transient nature of Botox effects, which primarily target muscle activity and do not induce permanentstructural alterations [4].

II. MRI Findings: MRI provided detailed views of the transient effects of Botox on muscle volume and activity. As Botox temporarily reduces muscle activity by blocking neuromuscular transmission, MRI showed a decrease in muscle volume in the treated areas. However, this effect is temporary, with no evidence of long-term atrophy or damage to adjacent tissues. The reversibility of Botox's effects aligns with the understanding that muscle function typically recovers fully after the toxin's effects wear off [5].

Comparative Analysis

Comparing the effects of dermal fillers and Botox, it is clear that both interventions have primarily localized and temporary impacts. Dermal fillers alter the density and volume in the immediate area of injection, with minimal effects on deeper structures. In contrast, Botox primarily affects muscle function and induces temporary changes in muscle volume, with no significant long-term effects on surrounding tissues.The use of CT and MRI in this study provides a robust framework for evaluating the effects of cosmetic procedures. CT is advantageous for visualizing changes in density and potential complications, while MRI offers detailed insights into soft tissue dynamics and volumetric changes.

Clinical Implications

Understanding the effects of cosmetic dermatologic procedures on adjacent anatomical structures is essential for enhancing patient safety and optimizing outcomes. Clinicians must consider these effects when planning treatments, particularly in areas with critical anatomical structures. Additionally, patient education about potential temporary changes and the typical course of recovery is crucial for managing expectations and ensuring satisfaction with the outcomes.

Future Directions

Further research should focus on longitudinal studies with larger sample sizes to assess the long-term effects of cosmetic procedures on adjacent anatomical structures. Additionally, exploring the impact of various filler materials and Botox formulations on different tissue types could provide deeper insights into optimizing treatment protocols[6].

Conclusion

CT and MRI imaging provide valuable insights into the effects of cosmetic dermatologic procedures on adjacent anatomical structures[7]. These findings underscore the importance of understanding the spatial interactions and potential side effects of such treatments. Continued research with larger sample sizes and long-term follow-up is essential to further elucidate the long-term impact of cosmetic procedures and to refine techniques for optimal patient outcomes[4].

References

1. Ozturk CN(2015)Volume restoration and its importance: The role of dermal fillers. Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology14(3): 195-204.
2. BerggrenS(2017)Safety and efficacy of hyaluronic acid-based dermal fillers.Dermatologic Surgery43(7): 886-895.
3. DeLorenzi C (2018)Aesthetic outcomes with different dermal filler types: An overview. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery142(1): 126-134.
4. Carruthers J, CarruthersA (2013)Botulinum toxin type A: Clinical applications in dermatology. Dermatologic Surgery39(6): 907-918.
5. WegnerL, Brundin A(2015)Long-term effects of botulinum toxin type Ainjections on facial muscles.Journal of Cosmetic and Laser Therapy17(4): 211-217.
6. American Society for Dermatologic Surgery(2021)Annual Consumer Survey on Cosmetic Dermatologic Procedures.